ONCODAILY MEDICAL JOURNAL

mini review

Evolution of the treatment of metastatic cutaneous melanoma over the past 5 decades

Author: Agop Y. Bedikian, MD

Correspondence: abedikia@gmail.com

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, MD Anderson
Department of Melanoma Medical Oncology

Published: December 12, 2024



ONCODAILY MEDICAL JOURNAL

mini review



Evolution of the treatment of metastatic cutaneous melanoma over the past 5 decades

Author: Agop Y Bedikian, MD

Correspondence: abedikia@gmail.com

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, MD Anderson Department of Melanoma Medical Oncology

Published: December 12, 2024

SUMMARY

Melanoma is a malignancy of melanocytes. Cutaneous melanoma is the deadliest skin cancer. Recent advances have made it possible the availability of targeted therapies and checkpoint inhibitors which significantly improved the prognosis of patients with metastatic cutaneous melanoma. The improvement in prognosis is based on identifying and matching patients to new therapies. The development of resistance after the initial response is a major problem. Studies are underway to understand the mechanisms of the resistance so that new strategies can be found to prevent the emergence of resistance.

CONVENTIONAL THERAPIES

For three decades, from the 1960s to the 1980s, the cornerstone of therapy for metastatic melanoma was dacarbazine (DTIC). It was used alone or in combination with cytotoxic agents or non-specific immunotherapy drugs. Dacarbazine had an overall response rate (ORR) of 5% to 15%. When used in combinations, such as CVD (which includes cisplatin, vinblastine, and DTIC), the response rate

increased to 35%, but without a significant impact on survival. High doses of Interleukin-2 (IL-2), administered as intravenous boluses, produced an ORR of 10% to 16% in patients with metastatic melanoma, with about half achieving a complete response (CR) that lasted many years¹. It was approved by the FDA in 1998. IL-2 therapy requires close monitoring of patients in the hospital due to the potential for multi-organ failure caused by uncontrolled hypotension. To reduce the toxicity of IL-2, we evaluated a lower dose administered via a 24-hour IV continuous infusion, given together with interferon alfa and CVD (referred to as biochemotherapy) at our institution². Bedikian et al. reviewed the outcome of 616 patients treated with CVD alone or biochemotherapy in 8 Phase II/ III clinical trials3. The ORR (,52% vs. 35%) median overall survival (MOS, 12.2 vs. 9.1 months,) and the 10-year survival rates (12.5% and 5%) respectively were significantly better for patients treated with biochemotherapy. Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis identified treatment with biochemotherapy as a favorable prognostic factor for long-term survival4. There was a causal relationship between complete response (CR) and survival, with half of the patients who achieved CR alive at 10 years. Since the CR rate was 2.5 times higher with biochemotherapy compared to CVD, it became the preferred treatment for young patients with rapidly progressive disease at our institution. However, a meta-analysis of 18 trials involving 2,621 patients failed to show overall survival (OS) benefits with biochemotherapy compared to chemotherapy, despite the higher response rate observed with it⁵. The availability of targeted therapies and drugs inhibiting checkpoint molecules in the late 1990s necessitated checking for BRAF mutations and expression of CTLA-4 and PD-1, PDL1 receptors on melanoma samples.

TARGETED THERAPIES

Targeted therapy is a class of drugs designed to halt the growth of cancer cells by inhibiting mutation-related abnormal molecules within them. Approximately half of skin melanomas harbor mutations in the BRAF gene, making it a key target for treatment. Other, less common mutations, such as those in MEK, NRAS, and KIT, are also addressed with newer, mutation-specific drugs.

BRAF inhibitors

Vemurafenib is a selective inhibitor of the BRAF kinase, specifically targeting the V600E-mutated form of the protein, which is present in 80% to 90% of patients with BRAF-mutated melanoma. In a phase III prospective, randomized trial conducted in chemo-naive melanoma patients with the BRAF V600 mutation, vemurafenib therapy was compared to dacarbazine (DTIC). Patients treated with vemurafenib demonstrated a significantly higher overall response rate (ORR) (48% vs. 5%) and median overall survival (MOS) (13.3 months vs. 10.0 months), respectively6. Other BRAF inhibitors with activity against metastatic melanoma include Dabrafenib and encorafenib. Although both Vemurafenib and Dabrafenib were active against metastatic BRAF V600E skin melanoma, virtually all patients eventually had disease progression7.

At progression, nearly all tumors showed continued BRAF mutation, in addition to elevation of pERK at the time of resistance to Vemurafenib⁸. In some patients, new NRAS, MEK1,

and E203 mutations were found. Both Vemurafenib and Dabrafenib are well tolerated and are active against BRAF V600E melanoma. The most frequent grade \geq 2 toxicities were dermatologic. Other grade \geq 2 toxicities included arthralgia, fatigue, headache, and fever.

MEK inhibitors

In a phase II multicenter clinical trial, patients with metastatic BRAF V600E or V600K positive skin melanoma were randomized to receive Trametinib or chemotherapy with dacarbazine or paclitaxel. The result showed superiority with Trametinib compared with chemotherapy, (median PFS of 4.8 vs. 1.4 months), diarrhea and edema occurred in 43% and 26% of cases, respectively. Less common toxicities of Trametinib included a decreased cardiac ejection fraction and interstitial lung disease. Other MEK I Inhibitors include Cobimetinib, Binimetinib and Selumetinib.

BRAF-MEK inhibitor combinations

Dabrafenib plus Trametinib

Trametinib has been combined with Dabrafenib to delay the development of resistance to Dabrafenib and to reduce the toxicities of BRAF inhibition. In a phase III trial, patients were randomized to receive either Dabrafenib plus Trametinib or Dabrafenib plus placebo¹⁰ Survival was better with the combination (median 25.1 versus 18.7 months)¹⁰. The ORR and complete responses were significantly better with the combination compared with Dabrafenib alone.

In a second phase III trial, patients with previously untreated BRAF V600 mutation-positive metastatic melanoma were randomly assigned to either Dabrafenib plus Trametinib or Vemurafenib¹¹. Survival, median PFS, and ORR were significantly better with the Dabrafenib plus Trametinib combination. The incidence of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma was significantly decreased

Table 1 - Phase 3 targeted studies in Metastatic melanoma								
Study Name	Year last reported	Regimens	No. of pts	Resp. rate (%)	Median survival [months] (95% CI)			
COMBI-v [36]	2015	Dabrafenib plus Trametinib	352	64	NR			
		Vemurafenib	352	51	17.2			
COMBI-d [37,38]	2019	Dabrafenib plus Trametinib	211	67	25.1 (19.2-NR)			
		Dabrafenib plus Placebo	212	51	18.7 (15.2-23.7)			
COLUMBUS [39,40]	2020	Encorafenib plus Binimetinib	192	63	33.6 (24.4–39.2)			
		Encorafenib						
		Vemurafenib	191	40	23.5 (19.6–33.6)			
CoBRIM [41,42]	2921	Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib	247	70	23.5 (19.6–33.6)			
		Vemurafenib plus Placebo	248	50	23.5 (19.6–33.6)			
					NR=Not reached			

with the combination compared with Vemurafenib alone (1% versus 18%).

Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib

Combination of Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib was evaluated in a phase III trial in which patients with previously untreated advanced V600 mutation-positive melanoma were randomly assigned to Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib or Vemurafenib plus placebo^{12,13}. The ORR) and complete response rate were superior with the combination of cobimetinib and vemurafenib compared to vemurafenib alone. Additionally, the combination therapy resulted in a 30% reduction in the risk of death compared to vemurafenib monotherapy. Both Trametinib-Dabrafenib and Cobimetinib-Vemurafenib combinations were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for use as the initial targeted therapy for patients whose melanoma contained a BRAF V600 mutation. The final results of these Phase III trials comparing BRAF-MEK inhibitor combinations to single agent therapy are summarized in (Table 1)14-20. These results confirm that the regimens combining BRAF and MEK inhibitors are superior to the BRAF or MEK inhibitor used alone with better response rates and overall survival. However, the adverse effects of the combination regimes were more frequent and more severe. While the onset of response was prompt and resulted in rapid clinical improvement, it was noticed that even the patients who achieved complete response with the combination therapy were at risk for tumor recurrence.

C-KIT INHIBITION

Curtin et al. reported c-KIT mutation and/or increase in copy number of c-KIT in mucosal (39%) melanoma, acral lentiginous melanoma (36%), and melanomas arising from chronically sun-damaged skin (28%)¹⁴. Pilot studies with Imatinib (c-KIT tyrosine kinase receptor inhibitor) failed to confirm clinical efficacy in a small number of patients. Other c-KIT inhibitors include dasatinib or nilotinib.

CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS

Anti-CTLA-4 Antibody

The immune system self-regulates by controlling the expression of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4), which

binds to the co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on antigen-presenting cells. This interaction prevents these molecules from binding to CD28, a process required for full T-cell activation. Monoclonal antibodies targeting CTLA-4 disrupt this inhibitory mechanism, allowing T-cell activation. Ipilimumab, a fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody, blocks CTLA-4 and enhances T-cell activity. In patients with previously treated stage III/ IV melanoma, ipilimumab significantly improved overall survival (OS) compared to the gp100 peptide vaccine15. In another trial with chemo-naive melanoma patients, Ipilimumab plus DTIC demonstrated significant improvement in OS vs DTIC16. In these phase 3 studies, the ORR of Ipilimumab was 10 to 15%. The 2-year survival rates of Ipilimumab arms were 10% better than the control arms.

PD-1Blockers

PD-1 is an inhibitory cytotoxic T lymphocyte co-receptor that may result in the suppression of anti-tumor immunity.

Nivolumab

Nivolumab is a humanized, monoclonal IgG4 antibody against PD-1. In a dose-finding trial of 107 metastatic melanoma patients, cohorts of patients received Nivolumab 0.1 to 10mg/kg¹⁷. The MOS was 16.8 months across all doses and it was 20.3 months at the 3 mg/kg dose. The 3-year survival was 40%. Grade 3/4 adverse events occurred in 21% of patients and included diarrhea, endocrine disorders, and hepatitis¹⁸. A retrospective analysis of pooled results of 4 Novolumab trials in melanoma was performed. The ORR was 34.6% for BRAF- and 29.7% for BRAF+ patients. The ORR was not affected by prior BRAF inhibitor therapy, prior ipilimumab therapy, or PD-L1 status of the tumor. The median duration of objective response was 14.8 months for BRAF- and 11.2 months for BRAF+ patients. The median time to objective response was 2.2 months in both groups. The incidence of grade 3 or 4 treatment-related toxicity occurred at 11.7% and 2.8% of BRAF- and BRAF+ patients respectively¹⁷.

Other PD-1 inhibitors were evaluated and included Lambrolizumab (Pambrolizumab), Cemiplimab (Libtayo). Pembrolizumab works by blocking the interaction between the (PD-1) receptor and its ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2. Atezolizumab is a humanized IgG antibody that binds to PD-L1, preventing it from interacting with PD-1 and B7-1. This removes inhibition of the anti-tumor immune response. Durvalumab stimulates the immune system by binding to PD-L1, preventing it from interacting with PD-1 and CD80 receptors. Cemiplimab works by promoting T cell-mediated immune response against tumors by blocking PD-1.

COMBINATION THERAPY

CTLA-4 Blockade and PD-I Blockade Combination

In a phase I trial, IV doses of Nivolumab and Ipilimumab were administered every 3 weeks for 4 doses, followed by Nivolumab alone every 3 weeks for 4 doses; the combined treatment was then administered every 12 weeks for up to 8 doses¹⁹. At the optimum doses of Nivolumab at 1 mg/kg of body weight and Ipilimumab at 3 mg per kilogram, 53% of patients had an objective response. Drug-related grade 3/4 adverse effects occurred in 53% of patients.

Several Phase 3 trials have been conducted to determine the best immunotherapy combination regimen. The results of five of these clinical trials are summarized in **(Table 2)**²⁰-28. These results confirm that the combination regimes are superior to the single-agent therapies with better ORR and OS. However, the adverse effects of the combination regimes were more frequent and severe.

Special situations and considerations: Two effective regimens became available for therapy of patients with BRAF+ metastatic melanoma, To find out how to sequence them National Cancer Institute conducted DREAMseq trial²⁹. The patients were randomly assigned to receive either ipilimumab plus nivolumab

Table 2 - Phase 3 immunotherapy trials in Metastatic melanoma							
Study Name	Reported Year last	Regimens	No, of pts	Resp. rate (%)	median survival [months] (95% CI)		
KEYNOTE-006 [20,21]	2017	Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg Q21d	185	36	32.7 (24.5–41.6)		
		Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg Q14d	183	37			
		Ipilimumab	181	13	16 (13.3–22.0)		
CheckMate 066 [22,23]	2020	Nivolumab	210	40	37.3 (25.4-51.6)		
		Dacarbazine	208	14	11.2 (9.6-13.0)		
CheckMate 067 [24,25]	2022	Nivolumab 1 mg/kg plus Ipilimumab 3 mg/kg	314	57.6	72.1 (38.2 – NR)		
		Nivolumab plus Placebo	316	43.7	36.9 (28.2 – 58.7)		
		Ipilimumab plus Placebo	315	19	19.9 (16.8 – 24.6)		
RELATIVITY-047 [26]	2022	Relatlimab plus Nivolumab	355	43.1	NR (34.2-NR)		
		Nivolumab plus Placebo	359	32.6	34.1 (25.2-NR)		
COMBI-i [27]	2022	Spartalizumab plus Dabrafenib plus Trametinib	267	69	NR (30.6-NR)		
		Dabrafenib plus Trametinib plus Placebo	265	64	NR (28.3-NR)		
Imspire150 [28]	2022	Atezolizumab plus Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib	256	66.3	39		
		Vemurafenib plus Cobimetinib plus Placebo	258	65	25.8		
NR = Not reached							

or dabrafenib plus trametinib. After reviewing data from the first 265 patients, the safety board found that 2-year survival was significantly superior in the immunotherapy first group (72% vs. 52%). Serious side effects were more common in patients who received immunotherapy. It was recommended that patients with BRAF+ advanced melanoma should receive an immunotherapy combination first unless they have rapidly growing cancer that may cause their demise before immunotherapy benefit kicks in.

Those patients preferably should be treated initially with Targeted therapy. The latest combination immunotherapy regimen for metastatic melanoma approved by FDA includes Relatlimab (a human IgG4 monoclonal antibody that binds to LAG-3, a protein that inhibits T-cell proliferation and cytokine

secretion) and nivolumab. The combination significantly improved progression free survival compared to nivolumab²⁶.

TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH BRAIN METASTASIS

Patients with BRAF 600E positive melanoma with brain metastases

In a multi-institutional study of 125 patients with melanoma brain metastases were treated with dabrafenib plus trametinib administered by mouth. The intracranial tumor response rate was about 58% and 44% in asymptomatic vs symptomatic brain metastases patients respectively confirming the activity of the dabrafenib-trametinib combination³⁰. Multivariate analysis indicated that

treatment with corticosteroids was associated with poorer ORR (39% vs 63%, and good performance status was associated with better OS³¹.

Patients with metastatic melanoma to the brain irrespective of BRAF mutation

This randomized phase 2 trial was done in immunotherapy-naive patients with melanoma brain metastases. Patients with asymptomatic brain metastases with no previous local brain therapy were randomly assigned to nivolumab plus ipilimumab or (nivolumab alone³² Patients with symptomatic brain metastases or failed to local therapy were given Nivolumab alone. Intracranial ORR and CR rates were 46% vs 20%) and 17 vs 12%) with combination immunotherapy vs nivolumab treated asymptomatic patients respectively. No responses were seen in patients with symptomatic/locally recurrent brain metastases or leptomeningeal disease who were treated with Nivolumab alone.

OTHER THERAPIES FOR METASTATIC MELANOMA

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) therapy

This therapy involves separating TIL cells from tumor tissue, culturing and expanding their number in the lab, and administering them IV, together with high dose bolus IL-2 after lymphodepletion chemotherapy. In a study, sponsored by by lovance Biotherapeutics, 66 of 70 enrolled patients received the TIL cell (Lifileucel) therapy, the ORR was 36% with two CR and 22 partial responses. The median duration of response was not reached after an 18.7-month follow-up³³ In February 2024 the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved Lifileucel therapy for previously treated progressive melanoma patients³⁴. However, persistent hurdles related to separating adequate TIL cells from the tumor and the prohibitive cost (\$500.000)

make this therapy out of reach of the patients.

CAR-T cell therapy

This therapy involves genetic modification of the patient's mono-nuclear cell-derived T cells collected from the bloodstream to give them the ability to recognize specific target molecules on the cancer cells and to increase their ability to kill them. The modified cells are expended and infused into the patient together with IL-2. Unfortunately, the ideal target molecule for melanoma cells has not been found to date. Of the dozen phase I /II CAR-T studies in melanoma the results of only one are available. More research is needed³⁵.

New studies

Multiple new studies directed to new immunotherapy targets are being investigated. The mechanisms of the emergence of resistance to checkpoint inhibitors and ways to prevent them. are being investigated New PD-1 inhibitors have been found and research to compare their efficacy to the ones already in use is underway. The results of studies combining targeted therapy with checkpoint inhibitors will be reported soon.

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment landscape for advanced cutaneous melanoma has witnessed remarkable progress in the past 2 decades. This progress was driven by the introduction of targeted therapies and checkpoint inhibitors into clinical practice. These new treatments of melanoma shifted to the outpatient clinic while significantly improving the survival of the patients. This review has provided a simplified overview of treatment strategies for BRAF+ and wild-type melanoma. Further research is needed to deal with the problem of the emergence of resistance given the limited availability of salvage therapies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Atkins MB, Lotze MT, Dutcher JP, Fisher RI, Weiss G, Margolin K, et al. High-Dose Recombinant Interleukin 2 Therapy for Patients With Metastatic Melanoma: Analysis of 270 Patients Treated Between 1985 and 1993. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 1999 Jul;17(7):2105–2105.
- 2. Legha SS, Ring S, Bedikian A, Plager C, Eton O, Buzaid AC, et al. Treatment of metastatic melanoma with combined chemotherapy containing cisplatin, vinblastine and dacarbazine (CVD) and biotherapy using interleukin-2 and interferon-α. Annals of Oncology. 1996 Oct;7(8):827–35.
- 3. Bedikian AY, Johnson MM, Warneke CL, Papadopoulos NE, Kim K, Hwu WJ, et al. Prognostic Factors That Determine the Long-Term Survival of Patients with Unresectable Metastatic Melanoma. Cancer Invest. 2008 Jan 11;26(6):624–33.
- 4. Bedikian AY, Johnson MM, Warneke CL, Papadopoulos NE, Kim KB, Hwu WJ, et al. Does complete response to systemic therapy in patients with stage IV melanoma translate into long-term survival? Melanoma Res. 2011 Feb;21(1):84–90.
- 5. Ives NJ, Stowe RL, Lorigan P, Wheatley K. Chemotherapy Compared With Biochemotherapy for the Treatment of Metastatic Melanoma: A Meta-Analysis of 18 Trials Involving 2,621 Patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2007 Dec 1;25(34):5426–34.
- 6. McArthur GA, Chapman PB, Robert C, Larkin J, Haanen JB, Dummer R, et al. Safety and efficacy of vemurafenib in BRAFV600E and BRAFV600K mutation-positive melanoma (BRIM-3): extended follow-up of a phase 3, randomised, open-label study. Lancet Oncol. 2014 Mar;15(3):323–32.
- 7. Chapman PB, Hauschild A, Robert C, Haanen JB, Ascierto P, Larkin J, et al. Improved Survival with Vemurafenib in Melanoma with BRAF V600E Mutation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Jun 30;364(26):2507–16.
- 8. Sosman JA, Pavlick AC, Schuchter LM, Lewis KD, McArthur GA, Cowey CL, et al. Analysis of molecular mechanisms of response and resistance to vemurafenib (vem) in BRAF V600E melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2012 May 20;30(15_suppl):8503–8503.
- 9. Flaherty KT, Robert C, Hersey P, Nathan P, Garbe C, Milhem M, et al. Improved Survival with MEK Inhibition in BRAF-Mutated Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012 Jul 12;367(2):107–14.

- 10. Long G V, Stroyakovskiy D, Gogas H, Levchenko E, de Braud F, Larkin J, et al. Dabrafenib and trametinib versus dabrafenib and placebo for Val600 BRAF-mutant melanoma: a multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. 2015 Aug;386(9992):444–51.
- 11. Robert C, Karaszewska B, Schachter J, Rutkowski P, Mackiewicz A, Stroiakovski D, et al. Improved Overall Survival in Melanoma with Combined Dabrafenib and Trametinib. New England Journal of Medicine. 2015 Jan 1;372(1):30–9.
- 12. Larkin J, Ascierto PA, Dréno B, Atkinson V, Liszkay G, Maio M, et al. Combined Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib in BRAF -Mutated Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2014 Nov 13;371(20):1867–76.
- 13. Larkin JMG, Yan Y, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Liszkay G, Maio M, et al. Update of progression-free survival (PFS) and correlative biomarker analysis from coBRIM: Phase III study of cobimetinib (cobi) plus vemurafenib (vem) in advanced BRAF -mutated melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2015 May 20;33(15_suppl):9006–9006.
- 14. Curtin JA, Busam K, Pinkel D, Bastian BC. Somatic Activation of KIT in Distinct Subtypes of Melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2006 Sep 10;24(26):4340–6.
- 15. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved Survival with Ipilimumab in Patients with Metastatic Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2010 Aug 19;363(8):711–23.
- 16. Robert C, Thomas L, Bondarenko I, O'Day S, Weber J, Garbe C, et al. Ipilimumab plus Dacarbazine for Previously Untreated Metastatic Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Jun 30;364(26):2517–26.
- 17. Larkin J, Lao CD, Urba WJ, McDermott DF, Horak C, Jiang J, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab in Patients With BRAF V600 Mutant and BRAF Wild-Type Advanced Melanoma. JAMA Oncol. 2015 Jul 1;1(4):433.
- 18. Sznol M, Kluger HM, Hodi FS, McDermott DF, Carvajal RD, Lawrence DP, et al. Survival and long-term follow-up of safety and response in patients (pts) with advanced melanoma (MEL) in a phase I trial of nivolumab (anti-PD-1; BMS-936558; ONO-4538). Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2013 May 20;31(15_sup-pl):CRA9006-CRA9006.

- 19. Wolchok JD, Kluger H, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Rizvi NA, Lesokhin AM, et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013 Jul 11;369(2):122–33.
- 20. Schachter J, Ribas A, Long G V, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab for advanced melanoma: final overall survival results of a multicentre, randomised, open-label phase 3 study (KEYNOTE-006). The Lancet. 2017 Oct;390(10105):1853–62.
- 21. Robert C, Ribas A, Schachter J, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma (KEYNOTE-006): post-hoc 5-year results from an open-label, multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2019 Sep;20(9):1239–51.
- 22. Robert C, Long G V., Brady B, Dutriaux C, Maio M, Mortier L, et al. Nivolumab in Previously Untreated Melanoma without BRAF Mutation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2015 Jan 22;372(4):320–30.
- 23. Robert C, Long G V., Brady B, Dutriaux C, Di Giacomo AM, Mortier L, et al. Five-Year Outcomes With Nivolumab in Patients With Wild-Type BRAF Advanced Melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2020 Nov 20;38(33):3937–46.
- 24. Wolchok JD, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Rutkowski P, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, et al. Overall Survival with Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2017 Oct 5;377(14):1345–56.
- 25. Wolchok JD, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Rutkowski P, Lao CD, et al. Long-Term Outcomes With Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab or Nivolumab Alone Versus Ipilimumab in Patients With Advanced Melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2022 Jan 10;40(2):127–37.
- 26. Tawbi HA, Schadendorf D, Lipson EJ, Ascierto PA, Matamala L, Castillo Gutiérrez E, et al. Relatlimab and Nivolumab versus Nivolumab in Untreated Advanced Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2022 Jan 6;386(1):24–34.
- 27. Dummer R, Long G V., Robert C, Tawbi HA, Flaherty KT, Ascierto PA, et al. Randomized Phase III Trial Evaluating Spartalizumab Plus Dabrafenib and Trametinib for BRAF V600–Mutant Unresectable or Metastatic Melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2022 May 1;40(13):1428–38.
- 28. Gutzmer R, Stroyakovskiy D, Gogas H, Robert C, Lewis K, Protsenko S, et al. Atezolizumab, vemu-

- rafenib, and cobimetinib as first-line treatment for unresectable advanced BRAFV600 mutation-positive melanoma (IMspire150): primary analysis of the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet. 2020 Jun;395(10240):1835–44.
- 29. Atkins MB, Lee SJ, Chmielowski B, Tarhini AA, Cohen GI, Truong TG, et al. Combination Dabrafenib and Trametinib Versus Combination Nivolumab and Ipilimumab for Patients With Advanced BRAF -Mutant Melanoma: The DREAMseq Trial—ECOG-ACRIN EA6134. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2023 Jan 10;41(2):186–97.
- 30. Davies MA, Saiag P, Robert C, Grob JJ, Flaherty KT, Arance A, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with BRAFV600-mutant melanoma brain metastases (COMBI-MB): a multicentre, multicohort, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017 Jul;18(7):863–73.
- 31. Wilmott JS, Tawbi H, Engh JA, Amankulor NM, Shivalingam B, Banerjee H, et al. Clinical Features Associated with Outcomes and Biomarker Analysis of Dabrafenib plus Trametinib Treatment in Patients with BRAF-Mutant Melanoma Brain Metastases. Clinical Cancer Research. 2023 Feb 1;29(3):521–31.
- 32. Tawbi HA, Forsyth PA, Hodi FS, Algazi AP, Hamid O, Lao CD, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with active melanoma brain metastases treated with combination nivolumab plus ipilimumab (CheckMate 204): final results of an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2021 Dec;22(12):1692–704.
- 33. Sarnaik AA, Hamid O, Khushalani NI, Lewis KD, Medina T, Kluger HM, et al. Lifileucel, a Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocyte Therapy, in Metastatic Melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2021 Aug 20;39(24):2656–66.
- 34. Chesney J, Lewis KD, Kluger H, Hamid O, Whitman E, Thomas S, et al. Efficacy and safety of lifileucel, a one-time autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) cell therapy, in patients with advanced melanoma after progression on immune checkpoint inhibitors and targeted therapies: pooled analysis of consecutive cohorts of the C-144-01 study. J Immunother Cancer. 2022 Dec;10(12):e005755.
- 35. Soltantoyeh T, Akbari B, Karimi A, Mahmoodi Chalbatani G, Ghahri-Saremi N, Hadjati J, et al. Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell Therapy for Metastatic Melanoma: Challenges and Road Ahead. Cells. 2021 Jun 9;10(6):1450.
- 36. Grob JJ, Amonkar MM, Karaszewska B, Schachter J, Dummer R, Mackiewicz A, et al. Comparison of dabrafenib and trametinib combination therapy with

- vemurafenib monotherapy on health-related quality of life in patients with unresectable or metastatic cutaneous BRAF Val600-mutation-positive melanoma (COMBI-v): results of a phase 3, open-label, randomised trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015 Oct;16(13):1389–98.
- 37. Long GV, Flaherty KT, Stroyakovskiy D, Gogas H, Levchenko E, de Braud F, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib versus dabrafenib monotherapy in patients with metastatic BRAF V600E/K-mutant melanoma: long-term survival and safety analysis of a phase 3 study. Annals of Oncology. 2017 Jul;28(7):1631–9.
- 38. Robert C, Grob JJ, Stroyakovskiy D, Karaszewska B, Hauschild A, Levchenko E, et al. Five-Year Outcomes with Dabrafenib plus Trametinib in Metastatic Melanoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2019 Aug 15;381(7):626–36.
- 39. Dummer R, Ascierto PA, Gogas HJ, Arance A, Mandala M, Liszkay G, et al. Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with BRAF -mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet

- Oncol. 2018 May;19(5):603-15.
- 40. Ascierto PA, Dummer R, Gogas HJ, Flaherty KT, Arance A, Mandala M, et al. Update on tolerability and overall survival in COLUMBUS: landmark analysis of a randomised phase 3 trial of encorafenib plus binimetinib vs vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with BRAF V600–mutant melanoma. Eur J Cancer. 2020 Feb;126:33–44.
- 41. Ascierto PA, McArthur GA, Dréno B, Atkinson V, Liszkay G, Di Giacomo AM, et al. Cobimetinib combined with vemurafenib in advanced BRAFV600-mutant melanoma (coBRIM): updated efficacy results from a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016 Sep;17(9):1248–60.
- 42. Ascierto PA, Dréno B, Larkin J, Ribas A, Liszkay G, Maio M, et al. 5-Year Outcomes with Cobimetinib plus Vemurafenib in BRAF V600 Mutation–Positive Advanced Melanoma: Extended Follow-up of the coBRIM Study. Clinical Cancer Research. 2021 Oct 1;27(19):5225–35.